



Co-digestion of microalgae and activated sludge following a novel bioflocculation method

Wágner, Dorottya Sarolta; Radovici, M.; Angelidaki, Irini; Valverde Perez, Borja; Plósz, Benedek G.

Publication date:
2016

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Wágner, D. S., Radovici, M., Angelidaki, I., Valverde Perez, B., & Plósz, B. G. (2016). *Co-digestion of microalgae and activated sludge following a novel bioflocculation method*. Poster session presented at Young Algaeneers Symposium 2016, Malta.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Co-digestion of microalgae and activated sludge following a novel bioflocculation method


Dorottya Sarolta Wágner*, Maria Radovici, Irini Angelidaki,
Borja Valverde-Pérez, Benedek Gy. Plósz

*dosaw@env.dtu.dk, DTU Environment, Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Miljøvej, Building 113, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, DENMARK



1. INTRODUCTION

- New technologies are developed to recover wastewater resources and increasing energy yields in form of biogas.
→ Potential energy recovery using microalgae.
- Available harvesting methods are costly and energy intensive.



2. OBJECTIVES

- Cost-efficient** way of harvesting microalgae via **bioflocculation** using wasted activated sludge.
- Assess the **biogas potential** from the harvested activated sludge-algal biomass.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mixed green microalgal culture cultivated on effluent wastewater:
Chlorella sorokiniana and *Scenedesmus* sp.




Activated sludge from a short SRT (3.5 d) EBP2R system:
Two wasting strategies:
Solid-liquid separation after the aerobic phase (AS_{AE}) and after the anaerobic phase (AS_{AN})



Two-step flocculation


Strategy I

- 1. step:** Algae is flocculated with activated sludge



Strategy II

- 1. step:** Algae is coagulated with cationic polymer (PDADMAC)
- 2. step:** Activated sludge is added to enhance the flocculation



Biogas potential


- Mesophilic conditions (37 °C)
- Digestion scenarios:
 - Algae
 - Algae + polymer (20 mg/g algae)
 - AS alone (aerobic and anaerobic sludge)
 - AS_{AE}/AS_{AN} + algae (ratio 10% of algae/AS)
 - AS_{AE}/AS_{AN} + algae + polymer (ratio 10% of algae/AS, 20 mg polymer/g algae)



4. RESULTS


Effect on microalgal recovery of:

(i) Polymer dosing




- 27 mg polymer /g algae** dosing results in **92 % recovery**
- Restabilization** effect results in lower recovery

(ii) Activated sludge settleability




- Microalgal recovery with activated sludge used as flocculant (strategy I) is low (40%) → **we need a coagulation aid** (strategy II)
- 16 mg polymer /g algae** dosing results in **97 % recovery**

(iii) Mixing ratio



- Bulking events** in activated sludge systems cause **poorly settling sludge** → **The sludge blanket height (SBH) increases**
- The efficiency of the flocculation does not deteriorate, the **microalgal recovery stays sufficient (>90%)**


(iii) Mixing ratio



- With **increasing algae /activated sludge ratios** **more polymer dosing** is needed
- Optimum dosing** should be estimated for the **specific operation conditions** of the process

Energy recovery through biogas production

- Co-digestion** with AS wasted after the **anaerobic phase** produces significantly **higher** (P<0.05) **methane** than when AS taken after the **aerobic phase** is used → effective preservation of organic carbon via the EBP2R, by the **PHA stored by PAO** in the anaerobic phase → up to **40% of the influent organic carbon is converted into methane**



Sample	Methane yield at day 27 (ml CH ₄ /gVS)
Algae	331± 76
AS _{AE}	363± 68
AS _{AN}	449± 17
Algae + AS _{AE}	400± 22
Algae + AS _{AN}	560± 24
Algae + poly	341 ± 121
Algae + AS _{AE} + poly	424± 14
Algae + AS _{AN} + poly	528± 28

5. CONCLUSION

- An **effective** solution is proposed to harvest the microalgae
- 97% microalgal biomass recovery** was reached
- Poorly settling** sludge did not improve microalgal biomass **recovery**
- Optimum polymer** dosing should be estimated for **specific operational conditions**
- Co-digestion** with AS wasted after the **anaerobic phase** enhanced **biogas potential**
- Up to **40% of the COD** was recovered as methane

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

